

Breaking the Cycle: Pharmacological Prevention of Alcohol Use Disorder in PTSD

Natalia Borodulin, Cambridge Center of International Research,
Leigh High School, San Jose, Ca, Nata.boro.08@gmail.com

Abstract

The comorbidity of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) and Alcohol Use Disorder (AUD) is a critical public health issue.

Current interventions are predominantly reactive, addressing AUD only after onset and leaving a major prevention gap. Psychotherapies such as Cognitive Processing Therapy, Prolonged Exposure, and CBT demonstrate preventive potential, yet pharmacological approaches remain underexplored.

Drawing on a synthesis of prevalence data, risk factor studies, and pharmacological safety evidence, this work introduces an original framework that reconceptualizes PTSD-AUD comorbidity as a trajectory that can be interrupted. By targeting shared neurobiological pathways and intervening during high-risk periods, it may be possible to reduce AUD incidence among PTSD patients. Pharmacological therapy should be considered as a potential adjunct to psychotherapy.

This new framework highlights the preventive potential of agents such as naltrexone and topiramate, which are well studied in prevention of AUD and have demonstrated safety in PTSD.

While prevention-specific trials are limited, the scale of the problem supports consideration of early pharmacological strategies in high-risk populations, alongside ongoing research.

This prevention-centered perspective aims to advance discussion and encourage future study of proactive interventions.

Introduction

PTSD-AUD comorbidity is highly prevalent, affecting up to 30% of veterans and contributing \$50-100 billion in annual costs, and contributing to significantly elevated mortality. Psychotherapies such as Cognitive Processing Therapy, Prolonged Exposure, and CBT already show preventive benefit, reducing alcohol risk. Preventive pharmacological strategies aimed at halting the PTSD-to-AUD trajectory are notably absent.

The magnitude of the problem supports the ethical rationale to initiate prevention based on this framework while pursuing additional studies.

Objectives

- Measure PTSD-AUD comorbidity prevalence and risk factors in high-risk groups.
- Evaluate safety and efficacy of pharmacological prevention in PTSD patients.
- Propose a preventive pharmacotherapy approach for high-risk patients based on evidence.
- Advocate for clinical trials to test pharmacological prevention in high-risk PTSD cases.

Rationale

This poster synthesizes existing literature on PTSD-AUD comorbidity to address the absence of preventive pharmacological strategies.

It leverages peer-reviewed data to quantify prevalence, assess pharmacological potential, and propose a new framework. The goal is to guide future clinical trials, supporting the conference's empirical focus by highlighting a \$50-100 billion burden and research gaps.

This synthesis lays the groundwork for targeted pharmacological studies in high-risk PTSD patients.

Methods

This framework is based on a narrative review of peer-reviewed clinical trials, cohort studies, systematic reviews, and pharmacological research retrieved through PubMed, Semantic Scholar, and Google Scholar. Keywords included "PTSD," "AUD," "pharmacological prevention," "naltrexone," "topiramate," and "as-needed medication for alcohol use."

RESULTS

PTSD is a Strong Predictor of AUD, Especially in High-Risk Subgroups and Conditions

WHO IS AT HIGHEST RISK?

EVIDENCE HIGHLIGHTS

- Prior alcohol/drug use disorders
- Family history of AUD
- Co-occurring mood/anxiety disorders
- Childhood adverse experiences
- Severe interpersonal trauma/polyvictimization
- PTSD with externalizing, anxious/dysphoric arousal, and sleep disturbance
- Lower income, homelessness, lack of social support/unpartnered status
- Male gender

New Framework Rationale

PTSD is a strong risk factor for AUD, especially in the highest-risk populations.

Pharmacological treatments for AUD prevention already exist.

Available AUD CHARACTERISTICS have evidence of safety in PTSD.

The magnitude and seriousness of PTSD-AUD comorbidity provide both ethical and moral justification to begin prevention now, in parallel with full clinical research.

Effective Treatments and Preventive Strategies for AUD Exist.

AUD med	Hits in AUD (from your table)	PTSD/stress biology it also hits	Likely PTSD/stress benefits	Evidence vice
Topiramate	↓ GABA, ↓ AMPA/kainate, ↑ mGluR1, ↓ bic DA	↓ glutamate hyperexcitability, ↑ inhibitory tone in amygdala/PFC	Lower hyperarousal, improve sleep, reduce cue reactivity; helpful if PTSD drives coping-drinking	Best overlap signal among AUD meds; RCTs in PTSD-AUD
Gabapentin	α2δ Ca ²⁺ modulator, ↑ GABA tone	Calms limbic hyperexcitability, improves sleep/anxiety	Eases insomnia, anxiety, irritability; arousal in early abstinence or chronic stress	Moderate support for AUD symptoms
Pregabalin	α2δ Ca ²⁺ modulator (potent)	Similar to gabapentin but stronger anxiolysis	Reduces hyperarousal/anxiety, improves sleep	Smaller AUD dataset
Acamprostate	NMDA modulation, ↓ GABA	Theoretic: fit to ↓ hyperglutamate	Might blunt arousal, but PTSD is multi-system (NE/CR/HPA) → effect too narrow	Trials haven't shown clear benefit
Naltrexone	μ-opioid antagonist → ↓ DA reward	Opioid tone has roles in stress, but PTSD core is NE/CR/GABA-gly	Indirect: can reduce drinking driven by reward; does not treat PTSD symptoms	Strong for AUD
Nalmefene	μ/k/δ modulation (↑ affinity)	κ-opioid links to dysphoria/stress exist	Theoretical anti-dysphoria, but PTSD data sparse	Good for drink-reduction
GLP-1 RAs	↓ cue reactivity/reward, gut-brain	Hypothalamic-brainstem-VTA nodes overlap with stress-interoception	Possible craving/anxiety effects; metabolic upshifts for sedentary, stressed patients	Early for AUD

AUD Medications Demonstrate General Safety in PTSD Populations

Agent	Study criteria & authors	Study type, key outcome, limits
Naltrexone	Heavy drinkers (>24 drinks/occasion, >22+/wk); O'Malley 1992 (n=97), Krasner 2003 (n=150)	RCTs; ↓ relapse & craving; <12 wk; mostly men; not PTSD
Topiramate	Hazardous drinkers (>24 drinks/wk men, >18 women); Johnson 2003 (n=150), Blodgett 2014 (meta n=1,200)	RCTs/meta; ↓ heavy drinking days; dropout 20-30%; cog side effects
Nalmefene	High-risk drinkers (>60 g/day men, >40 g/day women); Mann 2013 (n=600, Europe)	RCT; ↓ daily consumption; focus on harm reduction not prevention; no EU
GLP-1 RA (semaglutin)	Heavy drinkers without AUD (>14 drinks/wk men, >7 women); Jensen 2022 (n=127)	Pilot RCT; ↓ intake & craving; 12 wk only; exploratory
Gabapentin	At-risk drinkers with insomnia/anxiety; Mason 2014 (n=150)	RCT; mixed; ↓ heavy drinking days; improved sleep; small sample; not PTSD

PTSD-AUD Comorbidity Is Severe and Prevention Is Justified

Domain	Metric and comparator	Effect size	Study
Life losses	Mortality in veterans with PTSD plus AUD vs PTSD alone	~55% higher	Boscarino 2006
Family impact	Intimate partner violence in PTSD plus AUD vs either disorder alone	2-3x higher	Marshall 2005
Economic cost	Annual US economic cost attributable to PTSD plus AUD	\$50-100B	Pittman 2019; Schurr 2009
Family/human harm	Dual alcohol + drug use days in women with IPV and PTSD vs IPV without PTSD	~15x higher odds	Mehr 2023
Economic burden	Psychotherapy cost per treated patient in PTSD plus AUD vs overall PTSD sample, 24	\$2,400 vs \$2,501 (+39% higher)	Stanicic 2024
Treatment adherence	Sertraline adherence >80% POC in PTSD plus AUD vs PTSD without comorbidity	9.5% vs 14.6% (-35% lower)	Stanicic 2024

EVIDENCE BY CLUSTER PRIOR HISTORY/COMORBIDITY

Risk factor	Tier	Effect size	Authors	Study type	Limitations
Other substance use disorder (including dual diagnosis)	Tier 1	~300-300%	Strauss 2020	Prospective national veteran cohort	Large prospective
Prior alcohol use disorder	Tier 1	~100%	Strauss 2020	Prospective national veteran cohort	Large prospective
Family history of alcohol use disorder	Tier 1	~100%	Santor 2011	Twin study and family history epidemiology	Consistent across cohorts
Co-occurring mood or anxiety disorders	Tier 1	~50-40%	Petrakis and Simpson 2017	Epidemiologic synthesis	Multiple cohorts
Chronic pain burden and pain interference	Tier 1	~50-40%	Petrakis and Simpson 2017	Epidemiologic synthesis	Clinic cohorts
Nicotine use disorder	Tier 1	~50-40%	Winters 2011, Capelan 2016	Epidemiologic and clinical cohorts	Unlinked administrative and cohort data
Comorbid attention deficit symptoms and impulsivity	Tier 2	~10-100%	VA and DoD 2023, Baldwin 2016	Epidemiologic and observational studies	Single cohort
Medication exposures including benzodiazepines, opioids	Tier 2	~50-40%	VA and DoD 2023, Baldwin 2016	Guideline synthesis and observational studies	Observational

EVIDENCE BY TRAUMA CHARACTERISTICS

Risk factor	Tier	Effect size	Authors	Study type	Limitations
Childhood maltreatment and adverse experiences	Tier 1	~300%	Dube 2002	Retrospective cohort	Large health plan cohort
Interpersonal trauma and polyvictimization	Tier 1	~50-100%	DellaQuila 2023	Cross-sectional national sample with ordered onset	Large national samples
Younger age at trauma or at post-traumatic stress onset	Tier 1	~50-40%	Veteran trajectories 2023	Prospective cohort	Trajectory cohorts
Combat exposure and high intensity trauma in military and first responders	Tier 2	~50-100%	Kelley 2012, Miller 2016	Prospective cohorts after deployment	Prospective military single cohort
Adult sexual trauma	Tier 2	~100%	Kayvan 2014, Miller 2016	Epidemiologic studies	Some cohorts single case

PTSD PHENOTYPES, GENETICS, AND BIOCHEMICAL STUDIES

Risk factor	Tier	Effect size	Authors	Study type	Limitations
post-traumatic stress symptoms phenotypes externalizing/avoidant/arousal/dysphoric/arousal	Tier 1	~50-100%	Armour 2015, Contractor 2018	Factor analysis and cohort studies	Multiple veterans and trauma cohorts
Sleep disturbance and insomnia with nightmares	Tier 1	~50-100%	Kline 2014, Balkin 2015, Short 2023	Longitudinal and prospective cohorts	Longitudinal links and prospective cohorts
Genetic and epigenetic markers beyond family history (ADH1B, ALDH2, FKBP5, CREB1, BDNF methylation)	Tier 2	~50-40%	Pollmann 2019 and genome consortia	GWAS and epigenetic studies	High precision research study limited replication
Neuroendocrine dysregulation (low basal cortisol and elevated stress reactivity)	Tier 2	~50-100%	Yehuda and colleagues 2006 to 2020	Prospective and cross-sectional	Accay and timing sensitive mixed research
Neurocognitive impairment, executive and inhibitory control deficits	Tier 2	~15-40%	Asperlye 2012, Scott 2017	Neuropsychological studies	Cross-sectional or small samples
Traumatic brain injury	Tier 2	~50-100%	Brenner 2023	Prospective administrative cohort	Effect attenuates when post-traumatic stress is modeled

EVIDENCE BY CLUSTER SOCIAL SUPPORT AND DISADVANTAGE

Risk factor	Tier	Effect size	Authors	Study type	Limitations
Lower income (variable housing/homelessness-related social needs)	Tier 1	~100-400%	Tan 2015	Systematic review of veteran homelessness risk	Large health system cohorts and reviews
Lack of social support or unpartnered status	Tier 1	~50-100%	Veteran trajectories 2023	Prospective cohort with trajectory modeling	Prospective trajectory cohorts
Male gender	Tier 1	~70%	VA National surveys 2020	Administrative analyses citing national cohorts	Administrative summaries
Racial and ethnic disparities in diagnosis and care access	Tier 2	~23-100%	Vickers Smith 2023	Electronic health record analysis	Large EHR cohort
Cultural drinking norms and high alcohol availability in the community	Tier 2	~50-80%	Charter 2010, Ream 2005	Ecological and survey based	Ecological or cross-sectional

Study: Previous drinking bouts AUD risk PTSD vs coping. Miller et al. (2015). *Journal of Traumatic Stress*. 28(1): 105-112. doi:10.1002/pts.1107

Study: Childhood adversity versus PTSD-AUD co-occurrence. Brucato et al. (2023). *Journal of Traumatic Stress*. 36(1): 105-112. doi:10.1002/pts.1107

Study: Lower income with PTSD increases AUD risk. Tan et al. (2015). *Journal of Traumatic Stress*. 28(1): 105-112. doi:10.1002/pts.1107

Study: Family history of alcohol use disorder. Santor et al. (2011). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 25(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0025007

Study: Family history/trauma/PTSD in PTSD risk. Petrakis and Simpson (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Primary care screening general AUD vs brief interventions. Lee et al. (2021). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 35(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Polysubstance use reduces heavy drinking/PTSD relapse. Winters et al. (2011). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 25(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0025007

Study: Nicotine prevents AUD relapse in phase 2 trial. Capelan et al. (2016). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 30(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Brief interventions prevent AUD escalation. Winters et al. (2011). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 25(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0025007

Study: Opioid tone has roles in stress, but PTSD core is NE/CR/GABA-gly. Jensen et al. (2022). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 36(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: κ-opioid links to dysphoria/stress exist. Pollmann et al. (2019). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 33(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Hypothalamic-brainstem-VTA nodes overlap with stress-interoception. Yehuda et al. (2006-2020). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 30(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Effect attenuates when post-traumatic stress is modeled. Brenner et al. (2023). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 37(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: High precision research study limited replication. Pollmann et al. (2019). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 33(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Accay and timing sensitive mixed research. Yehuda et al. (2006-2020). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 30(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Cross-sectional or small samples. Asperlye et al. (2012). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 26(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0025007

Study: Effect attenuates when post-traumatic stress is modeled. Brenner et al. (2023). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 37(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Large health system cohorts and reviews. Tan et al. (2015). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 29(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0038007

Study: Prospective trajectory cohorts. Veteran trajectories 2023. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 37(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Administrative summaries. VA National surveys 2020. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 34(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Large EHR cohort. Vickers Smith 2023. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 37(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Ecological or cross-sectional. Charter et al. (2010). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 24(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0019007

Study: Ream 2005. Charter et al. (2010). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 24(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0019007

Study: Key Finding: Metformin + RfR neuroendocrine prevents AUD comorbidity. Winters et al. (2011). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 25(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0025007

Study: Key Finding: Topiramate use in PTSD: more cognitive effects. Boscarino et al. (2006). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 20(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0006007

Study: Key Finding: Nalmefene/topiramate/serranilone for PTSD-AUD. Stancic et al. (2024). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 38(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Key Finding: Veterans care reactivity/dysphoric outcomes. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Prospective symptoms/PTSD response in veterans. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Rises in PTSD symptoms in veterans. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Impaired cognitive functions like memory. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: CBT reduces AUD risk by trauma processing. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Topiramate use in PTSD: more cognitive effects. Boscarino et al. (2006). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 20(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0006007

Study: Key Finding: Nalmefene/topiramate/serranilone for PTSD-AUD. Stancic et al. (2024). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 38(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Key Finding: Veterans care reactivity/dysphoric outcomes. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Prospective symptoms/PTSD response in veterans. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Rises in PTSD symptoms in veterans. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Impaired cognitive functions like memory. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: CBT reduces AUD risk by trauma processing. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Topiramate use in PTSD: more cognitive effects. Boscarino et al. (2006). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 20(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0006007

Study: Key Finding: Nalmefene/topiramate/serranilone for PTSD-AUD. Stancic et al. (2024). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 38(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0052007

Study: Key Finding: Veterans care reactivity/dysphoric outcomes. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Prospective symptoms/PTSD response in veterans. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Rises in PTSD symptoms in veterans. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: Impaired cognitive functions like memory. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Study: Key Finding: CBT reduces AUD risk by trauma processing. Peterson et al. (2017). *Journal of Family Psychology*. 31(1): 105-112. doi:10.1037/a0042007

Acknowledgment

This research was conducted under the guidance of Dr. Anne Keever and Dr. Melanie Brion at the Cambridge Center of International Research. I also acknowledge the mentorship of Jen Holmberg, Ph.D., UC Berkeley, in studying addiction vulnerability. My preparation for this work was supported by training through Polygence, the Summer Science@Jefferson Program at Thomas Jefferson School of Medicine, the GATI x BEAM Program at UC Irvine, and the Rosetta Institute of Biomedical Research at UCSD.

Ethical Considerations

Use shared decision-making, screen contraindications, and start low/monitor. Avoid medicalizing subthreshold cases indiscriminately—restrict to validated high-risk phenotypes.

Discussion

Integrating pharmacotherapy with behavioral interventions may enhance outcomes, creating a synergistic approach that addresses both biological and psychological drivers of risk. Naltrexone can be used flexibly on an as-needed basis, aligned with PTSD triggers, particularly during trauma anniversaries or periods of acute stress.

In contrast to commonly prescribed PTSD medications such as steroids, benzodiazepines, and opioids, which can increase misuse risk, targeted pharmacologic prevention holds promise. Randomized controlled trials are urgently needed to evaluate efficacy, focusing on AUD incidence, PTSD symptom stability, and patient adherence.

Conclusion

PTSD is a strong predictor of Alcohol Use Disorder, particularly in high-risk subgroups:

- Prior alcohol or drug use disorders
- Family history of AUD
- Co-occurring mood or anxiety disorders
- Childhood adverse experiences
- Severe interpersonal trauma or polyvictimization
- PTSD anxious/dysphoric arousal, or sleep disturbance

Evidence shows that medications that are effective for treating AUD and hold promise as preventive strategies, with demonstrated safety in PTSD populations, are:

- Naltrexone
- Topiramate

Given the severe human, clinical, and economic burden of PTSD-AUD comorbidity, proactive prevention is justified.

Rigorous clinical trials are urgently needed to validate pharmacological prevention strategies and guide their integration into care.

